arXiv:1512.09065v1 [math-ph] 30 Dec 2015

On Spectral Properties and Ihara Zeta Function of Random Long-Range Percolation Graphs*

O. Khorunzhiy
Université de Versailles - Saint-Quentin
45, Avenue des Etats-Unis, 78035 Versailles, FRANCE
e-mail: oleksiy.khorunzhiy@uvsq.fr

December 31, 2015

Abstract

We study the asymptotic behavior of the Ihara zeta function (IZF) of the ensemble of random graphs such that the edge probability between sites x and y is given by a decaying function of the ratio $|x-y|/R$, $R \geq 1$, $|x|, |y| \leq n$. We show that in the limit $R, n \to \infty, R = o(n)$, the average value of the normalized logarithm of IZF converges to a finite limit determined as an integral with respect to a measure related with the limiting eigenvalue distribution of an ensemble of random matrices. This measure is uniquely determined by its moments that can be found with the help of a system of explicit recurrent relations.

1 Introduction: Ihara zeta function and random graphs

The Ihara zeta function (IZF) associated to a finite graph Γ is the function of $u \in \mathbb{C}$ given by the following relation,

$$Z_\Gamma(u) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - u^{\nu(C)})^{-1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the product is over equivalence classes of primitive closed backtrackless, tail-less cycles $C = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l = \alpha_1)$ of positive length l in Γ and

*MSC: 05C50, 05C80, 15B52, 60F99

$\nu(C) = l - 1$ is the number of edges in C. Ihara theorem [7] proved first for the $(q+1)$-regular graphs Γ says that the IZF (1.1) is the reciprocal of a polynomial and that for sufficiently small u,

$$Z_\Gamma(u)^{-1} = (1-u^2)^{r-1} \det(I + u^2(B-I) - uA), \tag{1.2}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ is the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma = \Gamma_N$, N is the number of vertices of Γ_N, $B = diag(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij})$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $r-1 = \mathrm{Tr}(B-2I)/2$. This statement has been proved also for possibly irregular finite graphs (see [2, 8] for the combinatorial proofs of (1.2) and references).

The explicit form of IZF of finite graphs is not simple to compute. To define the Ihara zeta function in the case of infinite graphs is also an important problem (see, for instance, [13]). In our previous note [9], we have shown that the random graphs represents a family of graphs that admit a natural limiting transition with respect to their size and possesses an explicit limiting expression of the corresponding IZF. The class of random graphs considered in [9] is known as the Erdős-Rényi ensemble of random graphs. In this family, the probability that the edge $\{x, y\}$ is present is given by a number ρ/N that does not depend on the distance between x and y [5]. In the present paper, we study the limiting expression of the Ihara zeta function of random long-range percolation graphs [1, 4, 14, 16] that can be thought as a somewhat better approximation of real systems of interacting particles than the Erdős-Rényi random graphs.

Let us consider the ensemble of $N \times N$ real symmetric matrices $A^{(N,R)}$ whose entries are determined by a collection of jointly independent Bernoulli random variables $\mathcal{A}^{(R)} = \{a_{xy}^{(R)}, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $R \geq 1$ such that

$$a_{xy}^{(R)} = \begin{cases} 1 - \delta_{x,y}, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{R}\phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) = p_R\,, \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - p_R\,, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{x,y}$ is the Kronecker δ-symbol and $\phi(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ is an even function such that $0 < \phi(t) < 1$ and

$$\phi_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t)dt < +\infty. \tag{1.3}$$

The family of graphs $\{\Gamma^{(n,\rho)}\}$ determined by the adjacency matrices

$$\left(A_N^{(R)}\right)_{xy} = a_{xy}^{(R)}, \quad |x| \leq n, |y| \leq n, \quad N = 2n+1, \tag{1.4}$$

represents the ensemble of random graphs close to the well-known random long-range percolation graphs [1, 4, 14, 16]. Let us note that in some of these

works the nearest-neighbor edges are considered as the non-random ones that are always present in the graph. In our case, we do not introduce the non-random edges and assume that there are no loops in Γ. One more difference is that we include the additional parameter R into the definition of the edge probability.

Given $\Gamma_N^{(R)}$, let us denote by $E(\Gamma)$ the set of its edges $e_{xy} \in E(\Gamma)$ between two vertices $v_x = x$ and $v_y = y$. It is a simple exercise to show that the probability distribution of the vertex degree $\deg_N^{(R)}(v_0)$ of $\Gamma_N^{(R)}$ converges as $N, R \to \infty$, $R = o(N)$ to the Poisson distribution with the mean value ϕ_1. It should be noted that in the Erdős-Rényi random graphs mentioned above, the limiting distribution of the vertex degree is also the Poisson one with the mean value ρ.

We determine the diagonal matrix

$$\left(B_N^{(R)}\right)_{xy} = \delta_{xy} \sum_{s=-n}^{n} a_{xs}^{(R)}, \quad |x|, |y| \le n,$$

and consider the discrete analog of the Laplace operator of the graph $\Gamma_N^{(R)}$ related with (1.2),

$$H_N^{(R)}(u) = u^2 B_N^{(R)} - u A_N^{(R)}. \tag{1.5}$$

In these denotations, the logarithm of the Ihara zeta function of $\Gamma_N^{(R)}$ takes the following form,

$$-\frac{1}{N} \log Z_{\Gamma_N^{(R)}}(u) = \frac{1}{2N} \operatorname{Tr}\left(B_N^{(R)} - 2I\right) \cdot \log(1-u^2) + \frac{1}{N} \log\det\left(I + H_N^{(R)}(u)\right). \tag{1.6}$$

Regarding the term

$$\Psi_N^{(R)} = \frac{1}{2N} \operatorname{Tr}(B_N^{(R)} - 2I) \cdot \log(1-u^2),$$

it is easy to compute its mathematical expectation $\mathbf{E}\Psi_N^{(R)}$ with respect to the measure generated by the family $\mathcal{A}^{(R)}$ and to show that it converges in the limit $N, R \to \infty$, $R = o(N)$,

$$\mathbf{E}\Psi_N^{(R)} = \left(\frac{1}{2NR} \sum_{x,s=-n}^{n} \phi\left(\frac{x-s}{R}\right) - 1\right) \ln(1-u^2) \to \left(\frac{\phi_1}{2} - 1\right) \ln(1-u^2). \tag{1.7}$$

Below we will study an additional limiting transition $\phi_1 \to \infty$ and therefore it is natural to consider the matrix $H_N^{(R)}(u_1)$ (1.5) with the following

renormalization factor,

$$u_1^2 = \frac{v^2}{\phi_1}, \quad v > 0.$$

Then the last term of (1.6) will read as follows,

$$\Phi_N^{(R)}(v) = \frac{1}{N} \log\det\left(\left(1 - \frac{v^2}{\phi_1}\right) I + \tilde{H}\right), \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_N^{(R)}(v) = \frac{v^2}{\phi_1} B_N^{(R)} - \frac{v}{\sqrt{\phi_1}} A_N^{(R)} = \tilde{B} - \tilde{A}. \tag{1.9}$$

Our main goal is to show that the following limit exists

$$\lim_{(N,R)^\circ \to \infty} \mathbf{E}\, \Phi_N^{(R)}(v) = F^{(\phi_1)}(v), \tag{1.10}$$

where we denoted by $(N, R)^\circ$ the limiting transition $N, R \to \infty$ such that $R = o(N)$.

2 Eigenvalue counting function of large random matrices

Let us denote the eigenvalues of real symmetric matrix $\tilde{H}$ by $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N$. It should be noted that $\tilde{H}$ is non-negatively determined for any $v > 0$ [6], and we assume without proof that the right-hand side of (1.8) is correctly determined. Introducing the normalized eigenvalue counting function,

$$\sigma_N^{(R)}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j:\, \lambda_j \leq \lambda} 1, \tag{2.1}$$

we can rewrite (1.6) in the following form,

$$\Phi_N^{(R)}(v) = \int_0^{+\infty} \log(1_\phi + \lambda)\, d\sigma_N^{(R)}(\lambda), \tag{2.2}$$

where $1_\phi = 1 - v^2/\phi_1$. Then the proof of (1.9) is reduced to the study of the integral

$$\mathbf{E}\Phi_N^{(R)}(v) = \int_0^{+\infty} \log(1_\phi + \lambda)\, d\bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)}(\lambda),$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)}$ is the averaged eigenvalue counting function, $\bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)} = \mathbf{E}\sigma_N^{(R)}$. The measure $\bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)}$ is characterized by its moments,

$$M_k^{(N,R)} = \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda^k d\bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)}(\lambda) = \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{H}_N^{(R)}\right)^k\right). \tag{2.3}$$

The main result of the present section is as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *For any given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the averaged moment (2.3) converges to a number $m_k = m_k^{(\phi_1)}(v)$,*

$$\lim_{(N,R)^\circ \to \infty} M_k^{(N,R)} = m_k \tag{2.4}$$

given by relation

$$m_k = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \Theta(k,r), \tag{2.5}$$

where the family $\{\Theta(l,r),\ 1 \le r \le l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ is determined by recurrent relations,

$$\Theta(l,r) = \sum_{u=1}^{r} \sum_{s=r-u}^{l-u} \binom{r-1}{u-1} \Theta(s, r-u)$$

$$\times \sum_{w=0}^{u} \sum_{h=0}^{l-s-u-w} \sum_{t=0}^{l-s-u-w-h} \frac{v^{2(u+h)}}{\phi_1^{u+h-1}} \binom{u}{w}$$

$$\times \binom{w+h-1}{h}^* \binom{w+h+t-1}{t}^* \Theta(l-s-u-w-h, t), \quad \Theta(l,0) = \delta_{l,0},\ l \ge 0. \tag{2.6}$$

In (2.6), the generalized binomial coefficient is such that

$$\binom{i-1}{i}^* = \delta_{i,0}. \tag{2.7}$$

It should be pointed out that similar statement has been proved in [12] for the averaged moments of the discrete analog of the Laplace operator of the Erdős-Rényi graphs. Relation (2.6) coincides with that of [12] in the case when the weights of the graph's edges are equal to 1 and the normalization factor ϕ_1 replaced by ρ with the exponent of ρ slightly different from ours (see also [3, 11]). This similarity of the limiting moments of two random matrix ensemble can be explained by the observation that the random graph $\Gamma_N^{(R)}$ in

the limit of infinite N (the "large world") can be regarded in the asymptotic regime $R \to \infty, R = o(N)$ as a kind of infinite collection of smaller random graphs of the Erdős-Rényi type thus representing the "small worlds".

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We analyze the asymptotic behavior of the moments (2.3) with the help of the diagram technique developed to study the moments of random adjacency matrices [11, 12]. This method is based on the representation of (2.3) as a sum of weights of closed walks of k steps that has been proposed in the pioneering works of E. Wigner [15]. On the first stage of the proof, we show that only the tree-type diagrams give a non-vanishing contribution to the limiting values m_k (2.4). Then we enumerate the number of the tree-type diagrams and compute their weights. This gives relations (2.5) and (2.6).

To consider the convergence of the last expression of (2.3), we have to determine the asymptotic behavior of the product

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x_1=-n}^{n} \cdots \sum_{x_t=-n}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left(\tilde{A}_{x_1x_2}^{p_1} \tilde{B}_{x_2x_2}^{q_1} \tilde{A}_{x_2x_3}^{p_2} \cdots \tilde{B}_{x_tx_t}^{q_{t-1}} \tilde{A}_{x_tx_1}^{p_t} \tilde{B}_{x_1x_1}^{q_t} \right), \quad p_i, q_i \geq 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i = P$, $\sum_{i=1}^{t} q_i = Q$ and $P + Q = k$. Given $\bar{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_t)$ and $\bar{q} = (q_1, q_t)$, the set of variables of the summation of (2.8)

$$(x_1 = x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, \ldots, x_1^{(p_1)} = x_2), [y_1^{(1)}, \cdots, y_1^{(q_1)}]_{x_2}, \ldots, [y_t^{(1)}, \ldots, y_t^{(q_t)}]_{x_1}$$

can be represented as a graph G that has P blue vertices and Q red ones; each blue vertex is labeled by a corresponding variable from the parenthesis, and each red vertex is labeled by the variables from the brackets. The blue vertices are joined by the blue edges; they make a one cycle of P edges. Each red vertex is joined by one red edge to the blue vertex indicated by the subscript of the corresponding bracket. In G, there are Q red edges. All vertices of G are chronologically ordered, as well as its edges.

The set of all possible values taken by the variables $(x_i^{(j)}, y_{i'}^{(j')})$ of (2.8) can be separated into the classes of equivalence; each class is associated with a diagram $\mathcal{D}$ obtained from G by gluing some groups of vertices of G. The diagram $\mathcal{D}$ indicates those of the variables $(x_i^{(j)}, y_{i'}^{(j')})$ that take the same value. In general, $\mathcal{D}$ is given by a multigraph with a number of simple and multiple edges and a number of cycles. The elements in the class $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D})$ are such that the variables represented by the vertices of $\mathcal{D}$ do not take the same value at the same time.

Regarding a diagram $\mathcal{D}$ and transforming each multiple edge of $\mathcal{D}$ into one simple edge, we obtain a graph $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$. It is clear that the edges of

$\mathcal{G}$ represent the set of jointly independent random variables from the family $\mathcal{A}^{(R)}$. Let us denote by $\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{D})$ the sub-sum of the right-hand side of (2.8) such that the variables $(x_i^{(j)}, y_{i'}^{(j')})$ take all possible values determined by $\mathcal{D}$. Now we can formulate our main technical statement.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is such that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$ has a cycle, then*

$$\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{D}) = o(1), \quad (N,R)^\circ \to \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

If $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$ has no cycles, then we say that the diagram $\mathcal{G}$ is of the tree-type structure. In this case,

$$\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{D}) = \phi_1^{|E(\mathcal{G})|}(1+o(1)), \quad (N,R)^\circ \to \infty, \tag{2.10}$$

where $|E(\mathcal{G})|$ denotes the number of edges of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. The edges e of the graph $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{D})$ can be chronologically ordered by attributing to them the labels of the first passage along the corresponding multi-edge of $\mathcal{D}$. Then it is easy to determine the spanning tree $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ by using the edges that create corresponding vertices. Since $\phi(t) \le 1$, then

$$\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{D}) = \Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}) \le \frac{1}{R^{|E(\mathcal{G})|-|E(\hat{\mathcal{G}})|}}\, \Pi_N^{(R)}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}). \tag{2.11}$$

The proof of the second part of Lemma 2.1 is an elementary exercise that can be performed by the recurrence by $|E(\mathcal{G})| = \mu$. We indicate just several steps of the proof of (2.10). The vertices of the tree $\mathcal{G}$ can be naturally ordered and we denote the set of corresponding variables of summation by $X_{\mu+1} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{\mu+1})$. Given a realization $\langle X_{\mu+1}\rangle$ with $\xi_j \in [-n, n]$, we denote by $\Pi(\mathcal{G}, \langle X_{\mu+1}\rangle)$ the product of the mean values of the random variables determined by the edges of $\mathcal{G}$ with attributed to its vertices values ξ_j. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let T be such that

$$\int_{|t|>T} \phi(t)dt \le \varepsilon. \tag{2.12}$$

We denote by $q(\mathcal{T}, p)$ the number of edges between the p-th vertex of the tree $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{G})$ and its root. The set of all possible realizations of $X_{\mu+1}$ such that $\xi_i \ne \xi_j$ and $|\xi_p| \le q(\mathcal{T}, p)TR$ will be referred to as $\mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G})$. Let us consider the last leaf e' of $\mathcal{G}$ and assume that $e' = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$. Then

$$\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G})) = \sum_{\langle X_\mu\rangle \in \mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}\setminus e')} \Pi(\mathcal{G}\setminus e', \langle X_\mu\rangle) \sum_{\substack{|\xi_i - \xi_{i-1}| \le TR \\ \xi_i \ne \xi_j}} \frac{1}{R}\phi\left(\frac{\xi_i - \xi_{i-1}}{R}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\langle X_\mu\rangle\in\mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}\setminus e')} \Pi(\mathcal{G}\setminus e', \langle X_\mu\rangle) \sum_{|\xi_i-\xi_{i-1}|\le TR} \frac{1}{R}\phi\left(\frac{\xi_i-\xi_{i-1}}{R}\right)(1+o(1)).$$

Adding and subtracting to the last expression the auxiliary term

$$\sum_{\langle X_\mu\rangle\in\mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}\setminus e')} \Pi(\mathcal{G}\setminus e', \langle X_\mu\rangle)\ \phi_1,$$

and taking into account relation $\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}\setminus e'), \mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}\setminus e')) = \phi_1^{|E(\mathcal{G})|-1}(1+o(1))$ and the first step of the recurrence

$$\frac{1}{NR}\sum_{|\xi_1|\le RT,\,|\xi_2|\le 2RT,\,\xi_1\ne\xi_2} \phi\left(\frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{R}\right) = \phi_1(1+o(1)), \quad (N,R)^\circ\to\infty,$$

it is easy to show that

$$\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G})) = \phi_1^{|E(\mathcal{G})|}(1+o(1)), \quad \text{as } (N,R)^\circ\to\infty.$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 2.1, it remains to use the definition (2.12) and to show that $\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{D})$ is asymptotically close to $\Pi_N^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{X}_T^{(R)}(\mathcal{G}))$ up to a constant multiplied by ε. $\square$

Let us evaluate the contribution of those diagrams $\mathcal{D}$ that have the tree-type structure. Here we mostly follow the method of paper [12] (see also [11]). Regarding the moment $M_l^{(N,R)}$ we can introduce a closed walk of l steps $\mathcal{W}_l$ determined as a sequence of letters from a certain alphabet $(\alpha,\beta,\dots)$. The walk starts at the root vertex ϱ and on each new step it draws a new oriented edge, the blue or the red one. As a result of this it either creates a new vertex or join join two already existing vertices by this edge. We denote the edge that joins two vertices α and β by (α,β). As a result of this run, we obtain a diagram $\mathcal{D}$ whose edges are all chronologically ordered. It is convenient to assume that during this run, after the moment when the walk has just drawn a red edge (γ,β) it immediately returns to the vertex γ by a mute jump. This jump does not belong to the set of all usual steps of the walk $\mathcal{W}_l$. Let us note that given a diagram $\mathcal{D}$, one can uniquely restore the corresponding walk $\mathcal{W}_l$.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that non-zero contributions to the limiting moment m_l is given by $\mathcal{W}_l$ such that its graph $G(\mathcal{W})$ is a plane rooted tree $\mathcal{T}$. We denote the set of these walks by $\mathbb{W}_l$. The edges of $G(\mathcal{W})$ are non-oriented and we denote them by $\{\alpha,\beta\}$. It is not hard to prove that since the blue steps of $\mathcal{W}$ make a closed chain, then in $\mathcal{T}$ any blue step (γ,δ) is attributed

by the inverse step (δ,γ). Regarding the collection of blue edges of $\mathcal{G}$, one get a sub-walk $\mathcal{W}^{(b)}$ of $\mathcal{W}_l$. This sub-walk by itself is an even closed walk.

Let us consider the vertex ν of $\mathcal{T}$ and the edge (ρ,ν) created at the first step of the walk $\mathcal{W}_l$. If $l=0$, then $\nu=\varrho$, if $l\geq 1$, then $\nu\neq\varrho$. In what follows, we use the well-known procedure of the first-edge decomposition modified to the case of multiple colored edges [11]. We denote by $\Theta(l,r)=\Theta^{(\phi_1,v)}(l,r)$ the sum of the weights of all walks $\mathcal{W}_l\in\mathbb{W}_l$ such that they have r steps of the form $(\varrho,\cdot)$. We assume that $\Theta(l,0)=\delta_{l,0}$, $l\geq 0$.

Lemma 2.2 *The following relation holds,*

$$\Theta(l,r)=\sum_{u=1}^{r}\sum_{s=r-u}^{l-u}\binom{r-1}{u-1}\,\Upsilon(l-s,u)\,\Theta(s,r-u),\quad l\geq 1,\ r\geq 1,\ \ (2.13)$$

where $\Upsilon(l-s,u)$ is the sum of the weights of all tree-type walks $\dot{\mathcal{W}}_{l-s}^{(u)}$ of $l-s$ steps such that their graphs have only one edge $\{\varrho,\nu\}$ attached to the root ρ and in $\dot{\mathcal{W}}_{l-s}^{(u)}$ there are u steps of the form (ϱ,ν). We denote the collection of such walks by $\dot{\mathbb{W}}_{l-s}^{(u)}$.

Proof. The walk performs u steps out from the root ϱ. Among these steps, there are r steps of the form (ϱ,ν) and the first step of the walk is necessarily of the form (ϱ,ν) also. Thus we have to point out $r-1$ steps among the remaining $u-1$ steps that will have the form (ϱ,ν). The last two factors of (2.11) are obvious. □

The second decomposition lemma concerns the total contribution $\Upsilon(l-s,u)$ of walks from the set $\dot{\mathbb{W}}_{l-s}^{(u)}$. In these denotations, u represents the sum of $w\geq 0$ blue steps and $f\geq 0$ red steps of the form (ϱ,ν) performed by a walk from $\dot{\mathbb{W}}_{l-s}^{(u)}$, $u=w+f$.

Lemma 2.3. *The following relation holds,*

$$\Upsilon(l-s,u)=\sum_{w=0}^{u}\sum_{h=0}^{l-s-u}\sum_{t=0}^{l-s-w-h}\frac{v^{2(u+h)}}{\phi_1^{u+h-1}}$$

$$\times\binom{u}{w}\binom{w+h-1}{h}^{*}\binom{w+h+t-1}{t}^{*}\Theta(l-s-u-w-h,t),\quad (2.14)$$

for any $u\geq 1$, $l-s\leq u$.

Proof. Given a set of u steps (ϱ,ν), we have to point out w steps among them that will be the blue ones. This gives the first binomial coefficient of

(2.14). Since each blue step (ϱ,ν) is accompanied by the inverse blue step (ν,ϱ), the walk has to perform w steps of the latter form. Assuming that the walk $\dot{\mathcal{W}}^{(u)}_{l-s}$ makes h red steps of the form (ν,ϱ) and taking into account the fact that the last step is always the blue one, we have to choose among $w+h-1$ steps the blue $w-1$ steps. Finally, assuming that the walk $\dot{\mathcal{W}}^{(u)}_{l-s}$ performs t steps of the form $(\nu,\cdot)$ such that the second vertex differs from ϱ, we have to point out them among $w+h+t-1$ steps of the form $(\nu,\cdot)$. Taking into account that once the sub-walk of $l-s-u-w-h$ steps of $\dot{\mathcal{W}}^{(u)}_{l-s}$ has left the vertex ν by a step $(\nu,\beta),\beta\neq\varrho$, it forgets the behavior of $\dot{\mathcal{W}}^{(u)}_{l-s}$ along the edge $\{\varrho,\nu\}$, we get the factor $\Theta(l-s-u-w-h,t)$. The multi-edge ϱ,ν contains $2w$ blue edges that according to (2.10) give the factor $(v/\sqrt{\phi}_1)^{2w}$ and $u-w+h$ red edges that produce the factor $(v^2/\phi_1)^{u-w+h}$. Then (2.14) follows.

To show that the lower limits of summation of (2.14) are correctly indicated, let us consider the contribution of the walks with $w=0$. This means that the first edge (ϱ,ν) of the corresponding diagram $\mathcal{D}$ does not contain any blue edge and therefore there are no blue edges of the form (ν,ϱ). The walk passes the edge (ϱ,ν) u times by the red edges and the sub-walk above ν is empty. Regarding the right-hand side of (2.14) and remembering property (2.7), we see that if $w=0$, then $h=0$ and $t=0$. Then equality $\Theta(l-s-u-w-h,0)=\delta_{l-s-u,0}$ implies that $l-s-u=0$ and that $\Upsilon(u,u)=v^{2u}/\phi_1^u$. Lemma 2.3 is proved. $\square$

Combining (2.13) and (2.14), we get relation (2.6). This fact together with the result of Lemma 2.1 completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Let us analyze the limiting transition $\phi_1\to\infty$ and denote

$$\tilde{\Theta}(l,r)=\lim_{\phi_1\to\infty}\Theta^{(\phi_1)}(l,r).$$

It follows from (2.6) that the only term of $u+h=1$ gives a non-vanishing contribution to the the right-hand side of (2.6). Since $u\geq 1$, then $h=0$ and w can take only two values. If $w=0$, then $h=t=0$ and $s=l-1$. If $w=1$, then $h=0$. Then the limiting relation for $\tilde{\Theta}(l,r)$ read as follows,

$$\tilde{\Theta}(l,r)=v^2\tilde{\Theta}(l-1,r-1)+v^2\sum_{s=r-1}^{l-1}\tilde{\Theta}(s,r-1)\sum_{t=0}^{l-s-2}\tilde{\Theta}(l-s-2,t). \quad (2.15)$$

Denoting

$$\tilde{M}_k=\sum_{r=1}^{k}\tilde{\Theta}(k,r)$$

and changing the order of summation in the second term of (2.15), we get the following recurrence that determines $\{\tilde{M}_k\}_{k\geq 0}$,

$$\tilde{M}_k = v^2\tilde{M}_{k-1} + v^2\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\tilde{M}_j\,\tilde{M}_{k-j-2}, \quad k\geq 2 \tag{2.16}$$

with the obvious initial conditions $\tilde{M}_0 = 1$ and $\tilde{M}_1 = v^2$.

Regarding the generating function

$$g(z) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\tilde{M}_k\frac{1}{z^{k+1}},$$

one can easily deduce from (2.16) relation

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{v^2 - z - v^2 g(z)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Equation (2.17) is uniquely solvable in the class of functions $g(z)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} z\cdot\operatorname{Im} g(z)\geq 0$ and therefore $g(z)$ represents the Stieltjes transform of the limiting measure $\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda)$ that is the widely known Wigner semi-circle distribution [15] shifted by v^2;

$$\frac{d\tilde{\sigma}_v}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi v^2}\begin{cases}\sqrt{4v^2-(\lambda-v^2)^2}, & \text{if } |\lambda - v^2|\leq 2v,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

This eigenvalue distribution has been already detected as the limiting distribution of the discrete analog of the Laplace operator for the Erdős-Rényi random graphs in the limit of the infinite vertex degree, $\rho\to\infty$ [9].

To complete this section, let us note that by repeating the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show that the moments of the adjacency matrix $\tilde{A}$ (1.9) converge in the limit $(N,R)^\circ\to\infty$,

$$\lim_{(N,R)^\circ\to\infty}\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{A}_N^{(R)}\right)^q\right) = \begin{cases} l_k^{(\phi_1)}(v), & \text{if } q=2k,\\ 0, & \text{if } q=2k+1.\end{cases} \qquad k=0,1,2,\ldots$$

where the moments $l_p^{(\phi_1)}(v) = \sum_{r=0}^{p}\Lambda(p,r)$, $p\geq 0$ can be found from the following recurrent relations [11, 12],

$$\Lambda(p,r) = \sum_{u=1}^{r}\frac{v^{2u}}{\phi_1^{u-1}}\binom{r-1}{u-1}\sum_{s=r-u}^{p-u}\Lambda(s,r-u)\sum_{t=0}^{p-s-u}\binom{u+t-1}{t}\Lambda(p-s-u,t), \tag{2.19}$$

with the initial conditions $\Lambda(p,0)=\delta_{r,0}$, $r\geq 0$. It is not hard to show that relations (2.19) in the limit $\phi_1\to\infty$ determine the moments of the semi-circle distribution [15],

$$\lim_{\phi_1\to\infty} l_k^{(\phi_1)}(v)=\ell_k(v)=v^{2k}\frac{(2k)!}{k!\,(k+1)!}. \tag{2.20}$$

It interesting to note that if we introduce the auxiliary numbers

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{(i)}=\sum_{r=0}^{p}\ \frac{(r+1)(r+2)\cdots(r+i-1)}{1\cdot 2\cdots(i-1)}\Lambda(p,r)=\sum_{r=0}^{p}\ \binom{r+i-1}{i-1}\Lambda(p,r),$$

where $i=1,\ldots,k$, then (2.19) would imply relation

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{(1)}=v^2\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\ \mathcal{L}_{k-1-j}^{(1)}\,\mathcal{L}_j^{(1)}+\frac{v^2}{\phi_1}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\ \mathcal{L}_{k-2-j}^{(2)}\,\mathcal{L}_j^{(2)}+\cdots+\frac{v^{2k}}{\phi_1^{k-1}}\mathcal{L}_0^{(k)}\mathcal{L}_0^{(k)}. \tag{2.21}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{L}_k^{(1)}\to\ell_k=\ell_k(v)$ as $\phi_1\to\infty$, where ℓ_k are determined by recurrence

$$\ell_k=v^2\ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\ \ell_{k-1-j}\,\ell_j,\quad \ell_0=1. \tag{2.22}$$

Then (2.20) follows because (2.22) determines $\ell_k(v)$. Relation (2.21) can be helpful in the studies of the $1/\phi_1$-corrections of $\mathcal{L}_k^{(1)}$.

3 Convergence of the Ihara zeta function

Convergence of the moments (2.3) proved in Theorem 2.1 means that the sequence of probability measures $(\bar\sigma_N^{(R)})_{n\geq 1}$ is tight and weakly converges to a limiting measure $\sigma=\sigma^{(\phi_1,v)}$ such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty}\lambda^k\,d\sigma(\lambda)=m_k,\quad k=0,1,2,\ldots \tag{3.1}$$

Remembering that the moments m_k coincide with the moments of the limiting eigenvalue distribution of the discrete version of the Laplacian of the Erdős-Rényi random graphs [12], we can use the result of the paper [10], where the uniqueness of this limiting distribution has been proved. Thus we can say that the moments $\{m_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ (2.4) uniquely determine the limiting measure σ. Then for any continuous bounded function $f:\mathbb{R}\mapsto\mathbb{R}$ the following is true,

$$\lim_{(N,R)^\circ\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\,d\bar\sigma_N^{(R)}(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\,d\sigma(\lambda).$$

Using the positivity of the support of the measures $\bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)}$ and the fact that

$$\sup_{N,R\geq 1} \bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)}(\mathbb{R}\setminus[0,t]) \leq \frac{v^2}{t^2} \sup_{N,R\geq 1} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{N}\,\mathrm{Tr}\tilde{B}^2 + \frac{1}{n}\,\mathrm{Tr}\tilde{A}^2\right) \leq \frac{3v^4}{\phi_1 t^2},$$

it is not hard to show that the convergence

$$\lim_{(N,R)^\circ\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1_\phi+\lambda)\, d\bar{\sigma}_N^{(R)}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log(1_\phi+\lambda)\, d\sigma^{(\phi_1,v)}(\lambda) \tag{3.2}$$

is also true. Relation (3.2) together with (2.2) proves (1.10).

Gathering (1.7) and (1.10), we conclude that

$$\lim_{(N,R)^\circ\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\,\mathbf{E}\left(\log Z_{\Gamma_N^{(R)}}(v/\sqrt{\phi}_1)\right)$$

$$= -\left(\frac{\phi_1}{2}-1\right)\log\left(1-\frac{v^2}{\phi_1}\right) - \int_0^{+\infty} \log(1_\phi+\lambda) d\sigma^{(\phi_1,v)}(\lambda). \tag{3.3}$$

This relation represents the main result of the present paper.

Using the by-product of the proof of Theorem 2.1 given by (2.18), we get the following consequence of (3.3),

$$\lim_{\phi_1\to\infty}\ \lim_{(N,R)^\circ\to\infty}\ \frac{1}{N}\ \mathbf{E}\left(\log Z_{\Gamma_N^{(R)}}\left(v/\sqrt{\phi}_1\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi v^2}\int_{v^2-2v}^{v^2+2v} \log(1+\lambda)\sqrt{4v^2-(\lambda-v^2)^2}\, d\lambda. \tag{3.4}$$

References

[1] M. Aizenman and C.M. Newman, Discontinuity of the percolation density in one-dimensional $1/|x-y|^2$ percolation models, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **107** (1998) 611-647

[2] H. Bass, The Ihara-Zelberg zeta function of a tree lattice, *Internat. J. Math.* **3** (1992) 717-797

[3] M. Bauer and O. Golinelli, Random incidence matrices: moments of the spectral density, *J. Statist. Phys.*, **103** (2001) 301-337

[4] I. Benjamini and N. Berger, The diameter of long-range percolation clusters on finite cycles, *Random Struct. Alg.* **19** (2001) 102-111

[5] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*, Cambridge studies in advances mathematics **73**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001) 498 pp.

[6] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs* 3rd revised and enlarged edition. Heidelberg/Leipzig, Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag (1995) 447 pp.

[7] Y. Ihara, On discrete subgroups of the two by two projective linear group over $\mathfrak{p}$-adic fields, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18** (1966) 219-235

[8] H. M. Stark and A. A. Terras, Zeta Functions of Finite Graphs and Coverings, *Advances in Mathematics*, **121** (1996) 124-165

[9] O. Khorunzhiy, A note on Ihara zeta function of large random graphs, Preprint arXiv:1508.07839

[10] O. Khorunzhiy, W. Kirsch and P. Müller, Lifshitz tails for spectra of Erdős-Rényi random graphs, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **16** (2006) 295-309

[11] O. Khorunzhiy and V. Vengerovsky, On asymptotic solvability of random graph's laplacians, Preprint arXiv:math-ph/0009028

[12] O. Khorunzhy, M. Shcherbina, and V. Vengerovsky, Eigenvalue distribution of large weighted random graphs, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004) 1648-1672

[13] D. Lenz, F. Pogorzelski and M. Schmidt, The Ihara Zeta function for infinite graphs, Preprint arxiv:1408.3522

[14] L.S. Schulman, Long range percolation in one dimension, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16** (1983) L639-L641

[15] E. Wigner, Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions, *Ann. Math.* **62** (1955) 548-564

[16] Z.Q. Zhang, F.C. Pu and B.Z. Lin, Long-range percolation in one dimension, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16** (1983) L85-L90